

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/840,131	IAMMATTEO, MATTHEW
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	San-ming Hui	1617

**All Participants:**

(1) San-ming Hui.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. mark Pohl, attorney of record.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Pohl informed the Examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed May 9, 2006*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)